

Synchronous Phases and Transit Time Factors $\sigma_1 = 90^\circ$ resonance

Beam physics - Light and heavy ion superconducting linacs - High energy gains

Jean-Michel Lagniel, GANIL

JM. LagnielHB2023, CERNOctober 11, 2023



SPIRAL2 linac commissioning & tuningsAngie Orduz, Tuesday talk WGD0.7 MeV/A RFQ => 3 bunchers + 12 low β + 2x7 high β SC cavities => 29 cavities to tuneLarge variety oflons: 1 < A/Q < 3 - 7Intensities: 0 to 5mA (200 kW)Energies: 0.7 to 20 (33) MeV/ADuty-cycles: 1kHz up to CW + 1/100 bunch selector+ Demands for experiments (dp/p ...)Dedicated "SP2_linac_generator" code=> TraceWin input file with kE (E = kE Emax) and Φ s



MENU

- 1- Low fields $\Delta W(z) \ll W$ Panofsky equation
- 2- Paramount importance of Φs (synchronous phase) and T (TTF)
- 3- What changes at high accelerating fields
- 4- Φs and TTF computations (to be "right" at high accelerating fields)
- 5- σ_{11} = 90° resonance, space-charge and cavity-field excitation



72 years ago (1951), Wolfgang Kurt Hermann Panofsky published a paper opening the way to **study and understand the** *"linear accelerator beam dynamics"* building an **analytical treatment** using **simplifying assumptions**





From Panofsky equation to longitudinal beam dynamics

$$\Delta W(\Phi_s) = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} q \, E_z(z) \cos[\Phi(z) + \Phi_s] \, dz \qquad \Phi(z) = \int_{-L/2}^{z} \frac{2\pi}{\beta(s)\lambda} \, ds = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda} \end{bmatrix} \text{Low field} \Rightarrow \text{Low } \beta \text{ variation}$$

field map $\Rightarrow T$
$$\Delta W_s = q \, E_0 \, L \, T \, \cos(\Phi_s) \qquad E_0 \, L = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) \, dz \qquad T(\beta_s) = \frac{1}{E_0 \, L} \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda}\right) \, dz$$



From Panofsky equation to longitudinal beam dynamics

$$\Delta W(\Phi_s) = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} q E_z(z) \cos[\Phi(z) + \Phi_s] dz \qquad \Phi(z) = \int_{-L/2}^{z} \frac{2\pi}{\beta(s)\lambda} ds = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda} \end{bmatrix} \text{Low field} \Rightarrow \text{Low } \beta \text{ variation}$$

$$\text{field map} \Rightarrow \mathsf{T}$$

$$\Delta W_s = q E_0 L T \cos(\Phi_s) \qquad E_0 L = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) dz \qquad T(\beta_s) = \frac{1}{E_0 L} \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda}\right) dz$$

$$\text{Longitudinal beam dynamics around } \Phi \text{s function of } \mathbf{E}_0 L \text{ (cavity voltage), } \Phi \text{s and } \beta \text{s}$$

$$\delta W_i = \delta W_{i-1} + q E_0 L_i T_{\beta_s i} [\cos(\Phi_{si} + \delta \varphi_i) - \cos \Phi_{si}]$$

$$\delta \varphi_i = \delta \varphi_{i-1} - \frac{2\pi L_i}{m_0 c^2 \lambda \beta_{s i-1}^3 \gamma_{s i-1}^3} \delta W_{i-1}$$



From Panofsky equation to longitudinal beam dynamics

$$\Delta W(\Phi_{s}) = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} q E_{z}(z) \cos[\Phi(z) + \Phi_{s}] dz \qquad \Phi(z) = \int_{-L/2}^{z} \frac{2\pi}{\beta(s)\lambda} ds = \begin{bmatrix} 2\pi z \\ \beta_{s}\lambda \end{bmatrix} \text{ Low field } \Rightarrow \text{ Low } \beta \text{ variation}$$

$$\text{field map } \Rightarrow \mathsf{T}$$

$$\Delta W_{s} = q E_{0} L T \cos(\Phi_{s}) \qquad E_{0} L = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_{z}(z) dz \qquad T(\beta_{s}) = \frac{1}{E_{0}} \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_{z}(z) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_{s}\lambda}\right) dz$$

$$\text{Longitudinal beam dynamics (around \Phi_{s}) function of } \mathbf{E}_{0} L \text{ (cavity voltage), } \Phi \text{ s and } \beta \text{ s through } \mathsf{T}$$

$$\delta W_{i} = \delta W_{i-1} + q E_{0} L_{i} T_{\beta_{s}i} [\cos(\Phi_{si} + \delta \varphi_{i}) - \cos\Phi_{si}]$$

$$\delta \varphi_{i} = \delta \varphi_{i-1} - \frac{2\pi L_{i}}{m_{0}c^{2}\lambda \beta_{s}^{3}i_{-1}} \delta W_{i-1}$$

$$\frac{d^{2}\delta \varphi}{dz^{2}} + K_{dp} \frac{d\delta \varphi}{dz} + \left[\frac{2\pi q E_{0} T_{\beta_{s}}}{m_{0}c^{2}\lambda \beta_{s}^{3} \gamma_{s}^{3}}\right] [\cos(\Phi_{s} + \delta \varphi) - \cos\Phi_{s}] = 0$$



From Panofsky equation to longitudinal beam dynamics

$$\Delta W(\phi_s) = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} q E_z(z) \cos[\phi(z) + \phi_s] dz \qquad \phi(z) = \int_{-L/2}^{z} \frac{2\pi}{\beta(s)\lambda} ds = \left[\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda}\right] \text{ Low field } \Rightarrow \text{ Low } \beta \text{ variation}$$

$$\text{field map (shape)} \rightarrow \mathsf{T}$$

$$\Delta W_s = q E_0 L T \cos(\phi_s) \qquad E_0 L = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) dz \qquad T(\beta_s) = \frac{1}{E_0} \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_z(z) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_s \lambda}\right) dz$$

$$\text{Longitudinal beam dynamics (around \Phi s) function of } E_0 L (cavity voltage), \Phi s \text{ and } \beta s \text{ through } \mathsf{T}$$

$$\delta W_i = \delta W_{i-1} + q E_0 L_i T_{\beta_s i} [\cos(\phi_{si} + \delta \phi_i) - \cos \phi_{si}]$$

$$\delta \phi_i = \delta \phi_{i-1} - \frac{2\pi L_i}{m_0 c^2 \lambda \beta_{si-1}^3 \gamma_{si-1}^3} \delta W_{i-1}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \delta \phi}{dz^2} + \kappa_{dp} \frac{d\delta \phi}{dz} + \left[\frac{2\pi q E_0 T_{\beta_s}}{m_0 c^2 \lambda \beta_s^3 \gamma_s^3}\right] [\cos(\phi_s + \delta \phi) - \cos \phi_s] = 0$$

$$\text{longitudinal phase advance} = \text{longitudinal focalization}$$

2- Paramount importance of Φs and T 1/3





2- Paramount importance of Φs and T 2/3

W(z) and Phi_s(z) Win = 1.4625 MeV Wout = 40.0062 MeV

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

W (MeV)







dphi and dW/W envelopes (z) Win = 1.4625 MeV Wout = 40.0062 MeV Erms = 0.0549 E100% = 1.6 pi.deg.MeV Input CS parameters : alpha = 0.09505 beta = 18382 [rad,w] = 561.53 [deg,MeV] 40 dohi 100 'dW_rms 'dW_100 35 'abs_phis' 30 25 (%) W/Wb 20 15 10 0 5 10 15 20 25 from CMA01 to CMB07 z (m)

Φs = Main parameter for linac design
 Φs = Main parameter for linac tuning
 Φs (and E) choice for each cavity
 Important to compute Φs correctly !!!

HB2023, CERN

JM. Lagniel





3- What changes at high accelerating fields ?

ALL !

(Nearly all !)

JM. Lagniel HB2023, CERN October 11, 2023

3- What changes at high accelerating fields 1/1



Energy gain for a -180 / +180° cavity phase scan SPIRAL2 cavity A at 3.25 MV/m (half nominal) protons, W_in = 732 keV (RFQ output energy) Energy evolution in buncher mode SPIRAL2 cavity A at 3.25 MV/m (half nominal) deuterons, W_in = 732 keV/A (RFQ output energy)

High accelerating field at low energy \rightarrow large evolution of β in the cavities

As said by Panofsky in his 1951 paper

in this case the beam dynamics (Φs and T) must be computed from tracking in cavity field maps How?

4- Φs and TTF computations 1/3



Compute 1: ΔW @ tracking 2: Φs @ Φs definition (?) 3: T = $\Delta W / q$ Eo L cos(Φs)

TraceWin "Historic model" (rf phase when reference particle at cavity electrical center)

$$tan[\Phi_s] = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q \, E_z(s) \, sin(\Phi(s)) \, ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q \, E_z(s) \, cos(\Phi(s)) \, ds}$$

Ok at low accelerating field, several issues at high accelerating field discovered working on SPIRAL2

4- Φs and TTF computations 1/3



Compute 1: ΔW @ tracking 2: Φs @ Φs definition (?) 3: T = $\Delta W / q$ Eo L cos(Φs)

TraceWin "Historic model" (rf phase when reference particle at cavity electrical center) $\tan[\Phi_s] = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q \, E_z(s) \sin(\Phi(s)) \, ds}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q \, E_z(s) \cos(\Phi(s)) \, ds}$

Ok at low accelerating field, several issues at high accelerating field discovered working on SPIRAL2

SPIRAL2 codes : (Φ s ,T) definition to obtain the correct ΔW_s and longitudinal focalization around Φ s

 fm_{21} = '21' coefficient of the "cavity field-map transfer matrix" ΔW and fm_{21} computed tracking the reference particle in field map (very fast, fm transfer matrix for σ_{01})

4- Φs and TTF computations 2/3





kE = 0.53

SPIRAL2 cavity A -180 / +180° phase scan red = energy gain $\Delta W(\varphi_{cav})$, green = Φ_s SP2, blue = Φ_{s_TW} protons, W_in = 732 keV (RFQ energy), 3.25 MV/m (half nominal) ΔW max not at Φ_s historic = 0° (17° shift)

 $\Phi_{s_historic} = 0$ not at ΔW max and never = -90° Buncher mode : $\Delta W = 0$ $\Phi_{s\ historic} = +90°$

Large phi_cav shifts (up to ~30°) between $\Phi_{s_historic}$ and $\Phi_{s_new} \rightarrow$ Not the same linac tuning

4- Φs and TTF computations 3/3



A/Q = 7 (U238) SPIRAL2 linac tuning (NewGAIN studies)

Two different beam dynamics for a linac tuned using $\Phi_{s_historic}$ or Φ_{s_new}



 Φ_{s_new} definition = "New model" in TraceWin "Use new synchronous phase definition" option Thank you Didier !

JM. Lagniel HB2023, CERN



JM. Lagniel, "Zero-current longitudinal beam dynamics", LINAC14 JM. Lagniel, "Excitation of the σl_l = 90° resonanceby the cavity RF field", IPAC22 and Institute of Physics Journal of Physics: Conference Series

EXCITATION BY THE SPACE-CHARGE FIELD

Fourth-order parametric resonance ... and the other longitudinal parametric resonances ... Longitudinal phase advance $\sigma_{l,l}$ = per longitudinal period $\sigma_{l,t}$ = per transverse period





JM. Lagniel, "Zero-current longitudinal beam dynamics", LINAC14 JM. Lagniel, "Excitation of the $\sigma l_l = 90^\circ$ resonanceby the cavity RF field", IPAC22 and Institute of Physics Journal of Physics: Conference Series

EXCITATION BY THE SPACE-CHARGE FIELD

longitudinal phase advance

 $\sigma_{I,I}$ = per longitudinal period $\sigma_{I,t}$ = per transverse period



FDO (doublet, solenoid) $\sigma_{l_t} = \sigma_{l_l} \Rightarrow$ excitation in phase opposition with the longitudinal envelope oscillation FODO $\sigma_{l_t} = 2 \sigma_{l_l}$ NO excitation by the transverse plane FOFODODO $\sigma_{l_t} = 4 \sigma_{l_l}$ nearly NO excitation by the transverse plane



It is a mistake to consider σ_{l_t} studying the 90° resonance in the longitudinal plane It is a mistake to design a linac with $\sigma_{l_t} < 90^\circ$

(FODO, FOFODODO)



JM. Lagniel, "Zero-current longitudinal beam dynamics", LINAC14 JM. Lagniel, "Excitation of the $\sigma l_l = 90^\circ$ resonanceby the cavity RF field", IPAC22 and Institute of Physics Journal of Physics: Conference Series

EXCITATION BY THE CAVITY RF-FIELD

Cavity rf-field

- = nonlinear longitudinal focusing force
 - $= [\cos(\Phi_s + \delta \varphi) \cos \Phi_s] =$
- $[\sin \Phi_s] \delta \varphi$ "quadrupole" (linear focusing)
- $[\cos \Phi_s/2!] \delta \varphi^2$ "sextupole"
- $[\sin \Phi_s/3!] \delta \varphi^3$ "octupole"
- + $[\cos \Phi_s/4!] \delta \varphi^4$ "decapole" + ...

Taylor series





Phase-space portrait, particle tracking in the SPIRAL2 low beta cavities Buncher mode, $\sigma_{0l_l} = 93.6^{\circ}$ (kE = 0.17), RFQ output energy

NO SPACE-CHARGE

 $\sigma_{0l_l} = 93.6^{\circ} \implies$ excitation of $1/4 = 90^{\circ}$ and all lowest order parametric resonances ($1/6 = 60^{\circ}$, $1/8 = 45^{\circ}$...) Low-order resonance overlap \Rightarrow chaotic sea, separatrix destruction Huge longitudinal acceptance reduction



JM. Lagniel, "Zero-current longitudinal beam dynamics", LINAC14 JM. Lagniel, "Excitation of the $\sigma l_l = 90^\circ$ resonanceby the cavity RF field", IPAC22 and Institute of Physics Journal of Physics: Conference Series

EXCITATION BY THE CAVITY RF-FIELD

Cavity rf-field

- = nonlinear longitudinal focusing force
 - = $[\cos(\Phi_s + \delta \varphi) \cos \Phi_s]$ =
- $[\sin \Phi_s] \delta \varphi$ "quadrupole" (linear focusing)
- $[\cos \Phi_s/2!] \delta \varphi^2$ "sextupole"
- $[\sin \Phi_s/3!] \delta \varphi^3$ "octupole"
- + $[\cos \Phi_s/4!] \delta \varphi^4$ "decapole" + ...





The $\sigma_{l} = 90^{\circ}$ resonance main source of excitation (as well as the other parametric resonances in the longitudinal plane !) is the cavity rf-field, Not space-charge ! Excitation period = cavity period => consider $\sigma_{l,l}$ not $\sigma_{l,t}$... again



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION! MERCI !



My last HB







$\sigma_1 > 90^\circ$ resonance experiment at SPIRAL2 ?

DIFFICULT !

1- Diagnostics : only BPM

2- Matching to the linac : buncher #3 far from cavity #1 3- Low-beta to high-beta matching 4- Which linac tuning ? $\Phi_s = -90^\circ$ all along the linac ?

Phase acceptance estimation shifting RFQ and buncher phases ? Comparison " $\sigma_1 < 90^{\circ}$ " vs " $\sigma_1 > 90^{\circ}$ " with good matchings in both cases