## Development of an Impedance Model for the ISIS Synchrotron and Predictions for the Head-Tail Instability

D. W. Posthuma de Boer<sup>1</sup>, B. A. Orton, C. M. Warsop, R. E. Williamson ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, Harwell, UK <sup>1</sup> also at John Adams Institute, Oxford, UK

68th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams 2023-10-10



Science and **Technology** 

#### **Contents**

- 1. Introduction to ISIS Facility
- 2. Loss Mechanisms on ISIS

#### 3. Vertical Impedance Model

- 3.1 Resistive -wall type
- 3.2 Resonator type
- 4. Head -Tail Predictions
- 5. Conclusion



## 1. ISIS Neutron and Muon Source

- ISIS is the pulsed Neutron and Muon source, at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory in the UK [1].
- Facility is centred around a high intensity, Rapid Cycling proton Synchrotron (RCS).







∰ www.isis.stfc.ac.uk

**ISIS Neutron and** fim **Muon Source** 

@isisneutronmuon  $\mathbb X$ [O]

uk.linkedin.com/showcase/isis-neutron-and-muon-source

## 2. Loss Mechanisms on ISIS

- Operational intensity is limited by loss [2]. Its primary drivers include
	- Longitudinal trapping
	- Transverse space charge
	- **Coherent vertical instability**
- Reports of a vertical instability at ISIS started around 1988 [3-5]. Resistive wall assumed most significant contributor.
- Calculations suggest mode-2 or 3 with growth times  $\tau \approx 4$ ms, but typically observe mode-1 with growth times on order of 100 µs.
- Apparent contradictions motivated study of impedances, an in-<br>depth review of theory and an extensive measurement campaign.
- Measurements using bunched storage-ring-mode and coasting beams revealed a low-frequency narrowband impedance [6].





# 3. Vertical Impedance Model



Science and<br>Technology<br>Facilities Council

### Tools for Low Frequency Impedance Computation

- Until now, ISIS has assumed a cylindrical stainless-steel pipe extending to infinity and neglected inductive bypass.
	- Can under-or-over estimate at low frequency.
- To improve this, developed "RWAL", based on B. Zotter and R. Gluckstern's formalisms [7-9].
	- Computes resistive wall impedance for cylindrical pipes with up to 5 material layers.
- For more complex geometries, use CST low frequency solver with a current loop excitation.
	- Mimics a low frequency bench measurement.





## Primary Impedance Candidates

- Vertical resistive wall type:
	- Dipole RF Screens
	- Doublet quadrupole RF Screens
	- Octupoles & gap RF Screens
	- Singlet quadrupoles RF Screens
	- RF cavities
	- Injection dipoles (H-kickers)
	- Collectors (collimators)
- Vertical resonator type
	- Extract kickers
	- Betatron exciters
	- Equipment with lumped components (e.g., RF screens in all magnets)





## 3.1 - Resistive Wall Impedances



Science and<br>Technology<br>Facilities Council

## RF Screen - Geometry

- Vacuum chambers inside the AC magnets of an RCS cannot be made from solid conductors due to eddy currents effects [10].
	- Ceramic vessels are used instead, but to prevent a longitudinal interrupt of the conducting vessel, RF screens are inserted [5].
- In designing these screens, there were two main options: put the screens inside the vacuum or outside.
	- Two-layer impedance calculations identified resonances in the lossy ceramic for the case with the screens outside and a larger imaginary impedance.
	- Because of this, and other practical considerations the screens were placed inside.
	- Nearly fifty years later, this is the geometry we use today.
- The screens are made from stainless steel wire, which would also carry eddy currents were it not for a coupling capacitor.
	- The capacitors present a high impedance to 50 Hz Eddy currents and low impedance to beam-induced currents.





## RF Screen – Resistive Wall Impedance

- Measured wire conductivity using eddy current probe technique [11].
	- Wrap coil around screen wire, measure resistance.
	- Subtract resistance of coil in free space.
	- Use root finder to estimate conductivity.
- Used CST low-frequency solver to estimate resistive- wall impedance. Neglecting capacitors.
	- Verified linear dependence on length and independence of bounding box size.
	- Observe a surface-impedance, Sacherer and inductive bypass region, just as for a solid conducting pipe.
- Results above 100 kHz are well approximated by thick- wall circular vessel formula with 5 cm radius.









## RF Cavity Resistive Wall

- ISIS uses ferrite-loaded fundamental and secondharmonic cavities.
	- Vacuum vessel is nickel plated, mild steel, which is used for magnetic shielding [12].
- Detailed properties about the nickel coating are unknown, and published properties vary widely.
	- Methods such as the eddy current probe are not necessarily applicable, because of nickels nonlinearity.
	- Use RWAL to investigate a range of parameters
- Use the "worst case scenario" for the impedance.
	- Took the result which maximise difference in impedance between baseband and first harmonic.





# 3.2 - Resonator Impedances



Science and<br>Technology<br>Facilities Council

### Extract Kickers

- Kicker magnets are a common cause of lowfrequency, narrowband transverse impedances in the plane that they kick [13, 14].
- ISIS has three vertical extraction kickers.
	- Lengths differ, but are otherwise similar
	- Kickers all have window-frame ferrite (8C11)
	- Opposing plates driven with opposite polarity by 8x 50Ω, ≈100 m long, RG220 cables.
	- Plates shorted on upstream side.
- They were designed with 6.25 $\Omega$  terminating resistors.









### Extract Kickers

- Loop measurements performed on spare kicker.
	- Reference made by shorting the kicker connectors.
- Without termination, first resonant frequency of in  $Z_{\perp}^1(\omega)$  expected at quarter-wavelength of cable.
- Without resistors, see resonances from 450 kHz and amplitude of  $\sim$  42 kΩ/m
- Order of magnitude reduction with resistors.
	- First resonance amplitude is  $\sim$  3 k $\Omega$  /m
	- Also moved to  $\sim$  800 kHz
- Verified with three-turn-coil and LCR measurement.





## RF Shields with Capacitors

- Low frequency CST simulations of RF screen have so far neglected capacitors.
- Add capacitors by creating a 5 mm gap and using a lumped circuit element.
- Observe narrowband impedances ( $Q \approx 15-30$ ) in the 100 kHz frequency range.
	- Magnitudes (0.4-10) MΩ/m for each family.
	- Less common families yet to be simulated.

**Muon Source** 



0.007

0.006

0.004

0.003

 $0.001$ 

 $0.000$ 

 $0.002$   $\bar{5}$ 

 $0.005$   $\in$ 





#### RF Shields with Capacitors – Preliminary Measurement

- Probe coil measurement using a singlet are currently being performed.
- **Preliminary** results taken using LCR meter, a 1-turn and a 3-turn coil.
	- Shown results are not final. Currently optimising reference measurements which have sometimes added offsets.
- Narrowband impedance at ~185 kHz, as predicted.
	- Amplitude is smaller (35 not 260 k $\Omega/m$ , 7.4x difference)
	- Peak is broader (Q≈4.5 not 30, 6.7x difference)
	- Differences likely due to idealised geometry in CST and/ or presence of coil in measurement
- Simulations can be improved, but conclusion now is **capacitors in the RF screens are causing a large, low frequency resonator-type transverse impedance.**





## Vertical Impedance Model Summary

- **The original thick resistive wall model is reasonable approximation above ~ 500 kHz - 1 MHz.**
	- Plotted is for ~70% of circumference, remaining 30% assumed.
- Terminated extract kickers contribute relatively small peaks and **will be neglected**.
- Simulations & preliminary measurements suggest the RF screens cause low frequency resonant impedance.
	- So far results agree that **amplitudes are order-of- magnitude larger than resistive wall**
- For now, consider thick wall plus two resonator models:
	- Use estimated resonator properties from CST
	- Speculate 6.7x reduction in Q and 7.5x reduction in  $R<sub>1</sub>$







## 4. Head-Tail Predictions



Science and<br>Technology<br>Facilities Council

## PyTMCI - Vlasov Solver

- Simplified formulae do not provide the most accurate analytical predictions available.
- Implemented new head-tail Vlasov solver, PyTMCI.
	- Open -source python package
		- https://github.com /stfc /PyTMCI
		- pip install PyTMCIVlasov
	- Three longitudinal models (Laguerre poly [15], NHT[16], airbag[17])
	- Multiple frequency approximation options [17]
		- perturbed frequency  $(\Omega \approx \omega \beta + \log \omega)$
		- simplified perturbed frequency  $(\Omega \approx \omega \beta)$
		- Broadband  $(\Sigma \rightarrow )$
- One benchmark is shown against PyHEADTAIL
- Also recreate original ISIS calculation with a thick resistive wall impedance.













## PyTMCI – Application to ISIS

- With RF shield impedance from CST + thick resistive wall impedance.
	- Mode -3,  $\tau \approx 440$  µs.
	- Centre of bunch oscillates with larger amplitude than the edges.



- Based on the singlet measurements, speculate that other magnets have 6.7x reduction in Q and 7.5x reduction in  $R_{\perp}$ .
	- PyTMCI predicts Mode-1,  $\tau \approx 175$  µs.





## 5. Conclusion

- A detailed resistive wall model has been developed, by itself it cannot explain observed instability.
- Measurements on spare extract kicker suggest its contribution at low frequencies is suppressed due to its terminating resistors. Extract kicker contributions have been neglected for the overall model.
- CST simulations suggest the low-frequency vertical driving impedance on ISIS is dominated resonance from RF screens + capacitors.
- Preliminary measurements on a singlet RF screen have identified a resonance at the expected frequency, but with a smaller, wider peak than predicted.
- New impedance model is a thick wall impedance plus five resonators with properties TBC.
- A new head-tail Vlasov solver, PyTMCI, is available on pypi.
- With the new impedance model, PyTMCI predicts growth times with the same order of magnitude as observation, and distributions closer to those observed.
- Future work will focus on the following:
	- Resonator properties must be verified with improved measurements and simulation.
	- Less common RF screen families to be simulated.
	- This analysis has not included direct or indirect space-charge.  $21$



**ISIS Neutron and** 

**Muon Source** 

Www.isis.stfc.ac.uk

 $X$  0 @isisneutronmuon

lim uk.linkedin.com/showcase/isis-neutron-and-muon-source

#### References

[1] - J. W. G. Thomason, 'The ISIS Spallation Neutron and Muon Source—The first thirty-three years', *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers*, *Detectors and Associated Equipment*, vol. 917, pp. 61–67, Feb. 2019, doi: [10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.129.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.129)

[2] - R. E. Williamson, 'High-Intensity Studies on the ISIS RCS and their Impact on the Design of ISIS-II', this conference.

[3] - C. W. Planner, 'Progress to operating at 100-microA on ISIS', presented at the Snowmass, 1988, pp. 422–424.

[4] - M. R. Harold *et al.*, 'Diagnostics and commissioning progress on ISIS', in *Proceedings of EPAC*, United States: World Scientific Pub Co, 1988.

[5] - I. Gardner, 'The Relevance Of Isis Experience To The Design Of Future High intensity synchrotrons', in *Part. Accel.*, 1990, pp. 227–234. <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1108185/>

[6] - R. Williamson, B. Jones, and C. M. Warsop, 'Development of Physics Models of the ISIS Head-Tail Instability', in 57th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness *Hadron Beams*, Malmö, Sweden: JACOW, Geneva, Switzerland, Jul. 2016, pp. 155–159. <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/hb2016/papers/mopr031.pdf>

[7] - B. W. Zotter, 'Transverse oscillations of a relativistic particle beam in a laminated vacuum chamber', CERN 69-15, Jun. 1969. doi: [10.5170/CERN-1969-015, 10.5170/CERN-1969-015](https://doi.org/10.5170/CERN-1969-015,%2010.5170/CERN-1969-015).

[8] - R. L. Gluckstern, 'Analytic Methods for Calculating Coupling Impedances', CERN, CERN-2000-011, 2000. doi: [10.5170/CERN-2000-011](https://doi.org/10.5170/CERN-2000-011).

[9] - N. Mounet, E. Métral, and G. Switzerland, 'Impedances of two dimensional multilayer cylindrical and flat chambers in the non-ultrarelativistic case', in *HB 2010 - 46th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams*, Jan. 2010. <https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/HB2010/papers/tuo1c02.pdf>

[10] - C. W. Planner, 'Some Considerations in the Design of an RF Shield for ISIS', SNS/ɸ/N8/79, 1979.

[11] - C. V. Dodd and W. E. Deeds, 'Analytical Solutions to Eddy Current Probe Coil Problems', Oak Ridge National Lab., Tenn., ORNL-TM-1987, Jan. 1967. doi: [10.2172/4499902.](https://doi.org/10.2172/4499902)

[12] - P. J. S. B. Barrat, 'The ISIS Synchrotron RF System at High Intensity', presented at the ICANS, May 1993<http://www.neutronresearch.com/parch/1993/01/199301031090.pdf>

[13] - G. Nassibian and F. Sacherer, 'Methods for measuring transverse coupling impedances in circular accelerators', *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, pp. 21–27, Feb. 1979.

[14] - E. Koukovini-Platia, M. J. Barnes, H. Bartosik, G. Rumolo, L. Sermeus, and C. Zannini, 'Source of horizontal instability at the CERN Proton Synchrotron Booster', *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, vol. 22, no. 12, p. 124201, Dec. 2019, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.124201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.124201).

[15] - Y. H. Chin, 'Transverse mode coupling instabilities in the SPS', CERN-SPS-85-2-DI-MST, 1985.<https://cds.cern.ch/record/157995>

[16] - A. Burov, 'Nested head-tail Vlasov solver', *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 021007, Feb. 2014, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.17.021007.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.17.021007)

[17] - A. W. Chao, *Physics of Collective Beam Instabilities in High Energy Accelerators*, 1st ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1993. [http://www.slac.stanford.edu/%7Eachao/wileybook.html](http://www.slac.stanford.edu/~achao/wileybook.html)



## Thank You



Science and<br>Technology<br>Facilities Council