



Adrian Oeftiger

HB2023, Geneva, Switzerland 9 October 2023

#### FAIR Status











- string test of full SIS100 arc cell installed
- first SIS100 accelerator section to be installed in January 2024, IPAC'23 paper on SIS100 status ✓

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

#### Motivation

Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research

SIS100: deliver high-intensity hadron beams





Figure: FAIR complex

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

#### Motivation



- Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research SIS100: deliver high-intensity hadron beams
  - crucial for performance: maintain beam quality during 1-sec injection plateau
  - reference case: uranium U<sup>28+</sup> beam
    - largest beam size vs. transverse aperture
      - space charge induced losses
        - $\rightsquigarrow$  important: dynamic vacuum stability
        - ⇒ low-loss operation < 5%!</p>







Figure: scaled beam sizes at 18 Tm

#### Motivation



- Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research SIS100: deliver high-intensity hadron beams
  - crucial for performance: maintain beam quality during 1-sec injection plateau
  - reference case: uranium U<sup>28+</sup> beam
    - largest beam size vs. transverse aperture
      - space charge induced losses
        - $\rightsquigarrow$  important: dynamic vacuum stability
        - ⇒ low-loss operation < 5%!</p>

#### key questions

- What is the maximum tolerable intensity at the space charge limit?
- How to increase the space charge limit?







Figure: scaled beam sizes at 18 Tm

#### Contents



#### Structure:

- A. The Model
- B. Betatron Resonances:
  - Intrinsic from Space Charge
  - External from Field Errors
- C. Space Charge Limit
- D. Mitigation Measures: Conventional & Novel
  - β-beat Compensation
  - Bunch Flattening
  - Pulsed Electron Lenses

# A. The Model

#### Space Charge Modelling



Simulation model:

track macro-particles (m.p.) through accelerator lattice & space charge kicks



Figure: sketch of simulation model

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

## Space Charge Modelling



Simulation model:

track macro-particles (m.p.) through accelerator lattice & space charge kicks

nonlinear 3D space charge (SC) models:

- self-consistent PIC: particle-in-cell for open-boundary Poisson equation
- *fixed frozen (FFSC):* constant field map independent of m.p. dynamics
- (adaptive frozen (AFSC): field map scaled with m.p. distribution momenta)



Figure: sketch of simulation model



Figure: horizontal space charge field



Maximum SC Tune Shift

$$\Delta Q_{y}^{\mathsf{SC}} = -\frac{r_{c}\lambda_{\max}}{\beta_{0}^{2}\gamma_{0}^{3}} \oint \frac{ds}{2\pi} \frac{\beta_{y}(s)}{\sigma_{y}(s)(\sigma_{x}(s) + \sigma_{y}(s))}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} r_c: \mbox{classical ion radius} & \lambda_{\max}: \mbox{maximum line density} \\ \beta_0: \mbox{speed in } [c] & \gamma_0: \mbox{Lorentz factor} & \sigma_{x,y}: \mbox{local rms beam size} \end{array}$ 



FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

# B. Betatron Resonances

#### **Only Space Charge**





Figure: tune diagram of beam loss

Symmetric error-free SIS100 lattice:

- perfect dipole and quadrupole magnets
- exact symmetry of S = 6
- space charge  $\rightarrow$  only source for resonances
- simulated for 160'000 turns = 1 second
- ⇒ mainly Montague resonance visible
- ⇒ absence of low-order structure resonances!

#### **Only Space Charge**





Figure: tune diagram of beam loss

Symmetric error-free SIS100 lattice:

- perfect dipole and quadrupole magnets
- exact symmetry of S = 6
- space charge  $\rightarrow$  only source for resonances
- simulated for 160'000 turns = 1 second
- ⇒ mainly Montague resonance visible
- ⇒ absence of low-order structure resonances!

#### Montague Resonance



Montague resonance  $2Q_x - 2Q_y = 0$ :

- 4<sup>th</sup>-order resonance
- intrinsically driven by space charge
- transverse emittance exchange for anisotropic beams
- ⇒ stopband always present around  $Q_x \approx Q_y$  for SIS100 beams
- Space charge model predictions:
  - bad: "adaptive frozen" resolves full exchange but predicts too large stopband extent
- + good: "fixed frozen" reproduces stopband edges well!



Figure: emittance exchange

#### Montague Resonance





## Warm Quadrupoles





Figure: SIS100 quadrupole survey



Figure: corrected warm quadrupoles

Real SIS100 lattice:

- 2 cold quadrupoles replaced by warm / normalconducting quadrupoles (radiation hardened, required in extraction region)
- breaking of S = 6 symmetry by gradient error
- → externally driven half-integer resonance
- → can be minimised by quadrupole correctors
- $\Rightarrow$  FFSC reproduces PIC stopband edges!



Adrian Oeftiger

Figure: dipole magnets

Field error model extracted from cold bench measurements of magnet units:

- stochastic amplitudes drive non-systematic resonances
- random number sequence  $\rightarrow$  multipole errors for every dipole and quadrupole magnet

quadrupole model displayed here corresponds to PRAB paper version (based on stamped FoS), see GSI-2021-00450 report / for model based on series production and its comparison

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger







Figure: quadrupole magnets

Field Error Model



HB'23 talk on Wednesday, C. Caliari on "Deep Lie Map Network" /:

- machine learning approach: train linear & nonlinear field errors on kick turn-by-turn data
- start from model lattice, learn error multipoles k·L
- ⇒ effective lattice to better reproduce machine behaviour





Figure: learning of quadrupole & sextupole errors

## Full Model with Space Charge



Linear and nonlinear resonances driven by magnet field errors. Resonance condition without space charge:

$$mQ_x + nQ_y = p$$
 for  $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ 



Figure: no space charge

### Full Model with Space Charge



Linear and nonlinear resonances driven by magnet field errors. Resonance condition without space charge:



Figure: no space charge

Figure: with fixed frozen space charge

- → SC broadens existing resonance stopbands
- $\implies$  optimal working point area around  $(Q_x, Q_y) = (18.95, 18.87)$

FAIR GmbH   GSI GmbH	Adrian Oeftiger	9 October 2023	11/23
	-		

# Validation with Self-consistent PIC



Self-consistent PIC simulations:

- ✓ validated Montague resonance
- ✓ validated half-integer resonance
- → now validate full error model FFSC predictions for beam loss







note: PIC simulations take 2 days (on NVIDIA V100 GPU) vs. FFSC simulations with 7 min (on 16 CPU cores, HPC AMD)

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

# C. Space Charge Limit



#### dynamic definition of space charge limit

reached when loss-free working point area vanishes



Keeping all beam parameters identical, increasing N:

 $\implies$  U<sup>28+</sup> space charge limit at **120%** of nominal bunch intensity  $N_0$ :

$$\max \left| \Delta Q_y^{\mathsf{SC}} \right| = 0.36$$

Figure: low-loss area for increasing N

D. Mitigation Measures:Conventional & Novel

### Correction of $\beta$ -beat



Two sources of  $\beta$ -beat (gradient error):

warm quadrupoles: uncorrected = 2%



(a) low-loss area with warm quads

#### Correction of $\beta$ -beat



Two sources of  $\beta$ -beat (gradient error):

- warm quadrupoles: uncorrected = 2%
- distributed  $b_2$ :  $\approx 0.5\%$

 $\implies$  below  $b_2 = 10$  units: no significant effect on low-loss area size





For SIS100, space charge parameter  $q = \Delta Q_v^{SC}/2Q_s \approx 33$  at design  $N = N_0$ :



Figure 4: Results of the simulations scans for the k = 1 mode: stability thresholds of the octupole power in a dependency from the space-charge parameter q. The circles are for the octupole polarity  $q_4 > 0$ , the squares are for the octupole polarity  $q_4 > 0$ .

(a) Required octupole strength for stabilisation of single-bunch resistive-wall instability [V. Kornilov, IPAC'23]  $\nearrow$ 



(b) Incoherently tolerable octupole strengths

- octupole current of  $k_3L = 35 \text{ m}^{-3}$  corresponds to  $q_4 = 0.55$ .
- ⇒ single-bunch stability through Landau damping from octupoles, transverse feedback system required for coupled-bunch stability

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

#### Double-harmonic RF

Add h = 20 harmonic in bunch lengthening mode:

$$V_{h=20} = V_{h=10}/2$$

 $\implies$  obtain flattened bunches with reduced line density at 80% of nominal  $\lambda_{max}.$ 







Figure: rms-equivalent line densities

Increasing N for double-harmonic RF:

 find space charge limit at 150% of nominal intensity N<sub>0</sub>

Figure: low-loss area for increasing N





## Novel: Pulsed Electron Lenses







Figure: e-lens model for SIS18 [K. Schulte-Urlichs et al., IPAC'22]  $\nearrow$ 

Figure: Modulation grid.

Short insertion (here L = 3.36 m) with co-propagating electron beam:

- transversely homogeneous distribution
- Iongitudinally modulated to match ion bunch profile
- → compensate longitudinal dependency of space charge
- ⇒ suppress periodic resonance crossing
- → additionally provide strong Landau damping for head-tail modes:
  - V. Gubaidulin et al., PRAB 25, 084401 (2022) / [tbc with strong SC]

# Tune Footprint vs. E-Lens Compensation $F_{A}R$ $rssmith{n}$

Some  $n_{\rm el}$  e-lenses with  $l_{\rm e}$  current and rms beam size  $\sigma_{\rm e}$  provide tune shift:

$$\Delta Q_{y}^{\mathsf{e}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\mathsf{e}|}} \beta_{y}(s_{k}) \frac{r_{\mathsf{c}}}{Ze} \frac{I_{\mathsf{e}}}{\sigma_{\mathsf{e}}^{2} \gamma_{0}} \frac{1 - \beta_{\mathsf{e}} \beta_{0}}{\beta_{\mathsf{e}}} \frac{L}{\beta_{0} c}$$

Define linear compensation degree (for Gaussian bunches  $\Delta Q^{KV} = \Delta Q^{SC}/2$ ):

$$\alpha \doteq \frac{\Delta Q^{\mathsf{e}}}{\left|\Delta Q^{\mathsf{KV}}\right|}$$

Remarks:

dipole tune increases with

$$\Delta Q_{dip} = \alpha \cdot \Delta Q^{e}$$

without chroma, α = 0.5 yields smallest tune spread!

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

9 October 2023



**Figure:** Gaussian bunch, tune footprint vs. e-lens strength (black:  $\Delta p/p_0 = 0$ , grey: with natural chromatic detuning)

# **Optimal E-Lens Configuration**



In SIS100 with natural chromaticity:



**Figure:** FAIR design intensity  $N = N_0$  with  $n_{el} = 3$  pulsed e-lenses.

• optimal choice of  $\alpha$  depends on nearby resonances

 $\implies$  depends on particularities of synchrotron

SIS100: at low  $n_{\rm el} \le 6$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  optimal vs. high  $n_{\rm el} > 6$ ,  $\alpha = 0.7$  better





Figure: low-loss area for increasing N

Table: SC limit with electron lenses.

Number n <sub>el</sub>	SC limit	Gain
0	1.4 · N <sub>0</sub>	100%
3	$1.8 \cdot N_0$	130%
6	$2.1 \cdot N_0$	150%
12	$2.6 \cdot N_0$	185%
24,∞	$2.8 \cdot N_0$	200%

Remarks:

- SC limit scales well
- n<sub>el</sub> = 24 case saturates gain
- theoretical 2D limit (Q<sub>s</sub> = 0, no e-lenses) = by construction no periodic resonance crossing

 $\implies$  reached after  $n_{\rm el} = 24, \infty$ 

#### Involved Publications





Dmitrii Rabusov \*,\*, Adrian Oeftiger b, Oliver Boine-Frankenheim \*,b

\* Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 8, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>b</sup> GSI Helmholtztentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Planckstr. 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

Adrian Oeftiger

9 October 2023

## Conclusion

FAIR E = i

Summary:

- identified **optimal tune area** in SIS100 around  $(Q_x, Q_y) = (18.95, 18.87)$
- explored space charge limit:  $\max \left| \Delta Q_y^{SC} \right| = 0.36$ 
  - nominal SIS100: +20% intensity
  - double-harmonic RF: +50% intensity
  - 3 pulsed electron lenses: +70..80% intensity
- FAIR start planned in 2028 with "Early Science" programme

### Conclusion

Summary:

- identified **optimal tune area** in SIS100 around  $(Q_x, Q_y) = (18.95, 18.87)$
- explored space charge limit: max  $\left| \Delta Q_y^{SC} \right| = 0.36$ 
  - nominal SIS100: +20% intensity
  - double-harmonic RF: +50% intensity
  - 3 pulsed electron lenses: +70..80% intensity
- FAIR start planned in 2028 with "Early Science" programme

#### take-home messages

- fixed frozen SC model fast & validated tool to identify resonance-free tunes
- dynamic space charge limit: find based on tolerable loss & emittance growth
- pulsed electron lenses: optimum configuration for space charge mitigation



#### Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgements:

**GSI:** O. Boine-Frankenheim, V. Chetvertkova, V. Kornilov, D. Rabusov, S. Sorge, D. Ondreka, A. Bleile, V. Maroussov, C. Roux, K. Sugita

CERN: R. de Maria, G. Iadarola, M. Schwinzerl