

WG-B Short Summary

Beam Dynamics in Linacs

N. Evans, H.Zhao, F. Bouly

WGB global view

- 5 sessions
 - 8 invited talks
 - 13 contributed talks

Tuesday

"Multi-Beam Operation of LANSCE Accelerator Facility"	Yuri Batygin
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	08:55 - 09:
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	09:15 - 09:
"30 kW Beam Commissioning of the High-Intensity Proton Accelerator IPHI: Experiments, Simulations and Space Charge"	Nicolas Chauvin et al.
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	09:25 - 09
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	09:45 - 09
"Effect of three-dimensional quadrupole magnet model on beam dynamics in the FODO line at the Spallation Neutron Source Beam Trent Edward Thompson	Test Facility"
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	10:10 - 10
"The impact of high-dimensional phase space correlations on the beam dynamics in a linear accelerator"	Austin Hoover
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	10:20 - 10
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	10:35 - 10
	10:45 · 11
"High availability oriented beam dynamics for CIADS proton linac"	Shuhui Liu
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	11:05 - 11
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	11:25 - 11
"SNS Linac Beam Dynamics: What We Understand, and What We Don't"	Andrei P. Shishlo
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	11:35 - 11
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	11:55 - 12
"Beam physics simulation studies of 70 MeV ISIS injector linac"	Sasan Ahmadiannamin
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	12:05 · 12
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	12:20 - 12
"Evaluating PyORBIT as Unified Simulation Tool for Beam-Dynamics Modeling of the ESS Linac"	Juan Esteban Muller et al.
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	12:30 · 12
Discussion	
503/1-001 - Council Chamber, CERN	12:45 - 12

Wenesday

"Synchronous Phases and Transit Time Factors"	Jean-Michel Lagniel 🥔
500/2-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	14:20 - 14:40
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	14:40 - 14:50
"Particle resonances' domination over parametric instabilities and their mitigation"	Dong-O Jeon 🥖
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	14:50 - 15:10
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	15:10 - 15:20
"The tracking code RF-Track and its application"	Andrea Latina et al. 🥝
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	15:20 - 15:35
Discussion	
500/2-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	15:35 - 15:45
"Benchmarking of PATH and RF-Track simulation codes using the Linac4 front-end"	Giulia Bellodi et al. 🥝
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	15:45 - 16:00
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	16:00 - 16:10
"Differential Algebra for Accelerator Optimization with Truncated Green's Function"	Chong Shik Park 🥝
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	16:10 - 16:25
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	16:25 - 16:35
COFFEE BREAK	
500/2-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	
"Development of non-destructive beam envelope measurements using BPMs for low beta heavy ion beams in SRF cavities"	Takahiro Nishi 🥔
500/2-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	16:55 - 17:15
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	17:15 - 17:25
"Linac4 Source and Low Energy Experience and Challenges"	Edgar Sargsyan et al. 🥔
500/1-001 - Mein Auditorium, CERN	17:25 - 17:45
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	17:45 - 17:55
"Beam Loss Studies in the China Spallation Neutron Source Linac"	Ming-Yang Huang 🥝
500/2-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	17:55 - 18:10
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	18:10 - 18:20
	10.10 10.20
"Beam loss simulations for the proposed TATTOOS beamline at HIPA"	Marco Hartmann 🖉

B 2023 ICFA

Thursday

"Measurement of transverse beam emittance for a high-intensity proton injector"	DongHwan Kim 🧔
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	11:05 - 11:20
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	11:20 - 11:30
"Comparison of longitudinal emittance of various RFQs"	Michele Comunian 🤞
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	11:30 - 11:45
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	11:45 - 11:55
"Matched Transport of Intense and Coupled Coasting Beams Through Quadrupole Channels"	Dr Chen Xiao et al. 🤞
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	11:55 - 12:10
Discussion	
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	12:10 - 12:20
"Alternating Phase Focusing Under Influence of Space Charge Defocusing"	Simon Lauber 🤞
500/1-001 - Main Auditorium, CERN	12:20 · 12:35



Main Remarks / identified themes

- Many talks about linacs under operation or in installation/ commissioning phase
- How do we improve Availability/Reliability /Sustainability ?
- 'Proliferation' of Beam dynamics codes
- The Low energy beam physics transport is not (always) well known
 - From the Source to the RFQ : what happens?
 - The RFQ : 'essential box that reset everything'
- Challenge : the integration of beam dynamics codes into control systems of the machines

Commissioning & operation feedback

- The beam dynamics is well controlled/understood after the RFQ
- Especially true in warm (copper sections) for 'light' particles (p+/H-)
 - DTL, CCL operation and losses well controlled and globally understood
 - Studies and improvements plans to mitigate beam losses
 - Upgrades or compromises
 - Y. Batagin al., Multi-Beam Operation of LANSCE Accelerator Facility
 - A. Shishlo et al. , SNS Linac Beam Dynamics: What We Understand, and What We Don't ?
 - S. Ahmadiannamin et al. , *Beam physics simulation studies of 70 MeV ISIS linac*
 - J. Peng , M.-Y. Hunag , Beam loss studies in the CSNS linac
 - S. Lauber et al., Alternating Phase Focusing Under Influence of Space Charge Defocusing"



S. Ahmadiannamin

Superconducting linac tuning

- Linac with large acceptance
 - Tuning flexibility : operation modes (current, duty cycle, different A/Q beams)
 - Main cause of losses are longitudinal
- To understand and minimise the losses -> understanding the longitudinal dynamics
 - Importance of design parameter definition and computation
 - especially at high gradient
 - J.-M. Lagniel, Synchronous Phases and Transit Time Factors (σl= 90° resonance)
 - D.-O Jeon, Particle resonances' domination over parametric instabilities and their mitigation



EXCITATION BY THE CAVITY RF-FIELD





The $\sigma_1 = 90^\circ$ resonance main source of excitation (as well as the other parametric resonances in the longitudinal plane !) is the cavity rf-field, Not space-charge ! Excitation period = cavity period => consider σ_{l_1} not σ_{l_2} ... again

J.-M. Lagniel

Understanding the Low energy beam physics



- LEBT -> RFQ-> MEBT : the region where the beam is conditionnned
- RFQ design : M. Communian, Comparison of longitudinal emittance of various RFQs
 - "The simulations codes can well define the beam dynamics inside any RFQs."
 - "The simulations codes has been compared with success with the experimental results, in terms of transmission and longitudinal emittance."
 - "No general common rules about how to do an RFQ design"
 - "The RFQ parameters must be carefully defined at the end of Gentle Buncher to get a good degree of longitudinal capture"
 - depends on the initial conditions : "At zero current higher long. Emittance for the RFQs designed for high current"
 - Message for future design : Optimise the power with multi-objective algo.-> New TRASCO Design



Low Energy beam Physics

- 3 2023 ICFA
- Most critical challenge is to understand transport from the source to the RFQ.
- Well summarised from E. Sargsyan et al., Linac4 source and low energy experience and challenges
 - "IS04 source can reliably produce up to 50 mA of H- beam. However, the operational beam current from the source remains 35 mA (27 mA out of the RFQ), as this covers the present beam intensity needs."
- What happens in source extraction region, how to deal with SC and SCC.
- Many talks covering these topic:
- A. Hoover et al., The impact of high-dimensional phase space correlations on the beam dynamics in a linear accelerator
- T. E. Thompson et al, *Effect of three-dimensional quadrupole magnet model on beam dynamics in the FODO line at the Spallation Neutron Source Beam Test Facility*
- D. H, Kim et al., Measurement of transverse beam emittance for a high-intensity proton injector
- *N.Chauvin et al.,* 30 kW Beam Commissioning of the High-Intensity Proton Accelerator IPHI: Experiments, Simulations and Space Charge





Beam dynamics codes We noticed a large number of codes are used/developped in the community

- - PARMILA, Track3D, Trace3D, OPENXAL OL, TRAVEL, TraceWin, IBSimu, WARP, IMPACT3D, PyORBIT, PATH, RF Track, Toutatis, PARTEQm, BDSIM, MADX, SPIRAL2 generator, ...
- Use Different solvers, approach (envelop/Tracking), space charge routine, commercial, ٠ customisation more or less possible, etc.
- Many talks covering this topic and benchmarking (w. other codes or experiements)
 - T. E. Thompson et al, Effect of three-dimensional guadrupole magnet model on beam dynamics in the FODO line at the • Spallation Neutron Source Beam Test Facility
 - J. E. Muller et al., Evaluating PyORBIT as Unified Simulation Tool for Beam-Dynamics Modeling of the ESS Linac •
 - A. Latina et al. The tracking code RF-Track and its application •
 - G. Bellodi et al., Benchmarking of PATH and RF-Track simulation codes using the Linac4 front-end •
 - C. S. Park et al., Differential Algebra for Accelerator Optimization with Truncated Green's Function" •
 - C. Xiao et al., Matched Transport of Intense and Coupled Coasting Beams Through Quadrupole Channels •



A message : time for global review/benchmarking ? -> Example : HIPPI project in 2004/2005

> Benchmarking linac codes for the HIPPI Project .Franchi*, R. Duperrier[†], G. Franchetti^{*}, F. Gerigk^{**}, L. Groening^{*}, I. Hofmann^{*}, A. Orzhekhovskaya*, A. Sauer‡, D. Uriot† and S. Yaramyschev* *GSI, Darmstadt, Germany *†CEA*, Saclay, France **CCLRC-RAL, UK \$IAP, Frankfurt, Germany



Last words



- Stolen from A. Shishlo presentation :
 - "• We understand very well transverse and longitudinal motion of bunch center

• Combination of empirical beam loss tuning and modelling of bunch center motion was beneficial for beam availability and low activation of SNS linac

• To improve our knowledge and operation practices further we have to use combination of envelope (fast) * PIC codes (more realistic)"

- -> Integration of beam dynamics codes into control systems
 - On-line monitoring /tuning

T. Nishi et al., Development of non-destructive beam envelope measurements using BPMs for low beta heavy ion beams in SRF cavities

- Model improvements ("Machine learning" or "learning the machine") : Numerical Twin

-> Increase or ensure availability/reliability

M. Hartmann et al., Beam loss simulations for the proposed TATTOOS beamline at HIPA

- even more critical for ADS machines

S. Liu et al., High availability oriented beam dynamics for CiADS proton linac"

If you have comments remarks please send it to :

<u>zhaohw@impcas.ac.cn</u> <u>evansnj@ornl.gov</u> fbouly@lpsc.in2p3.fr



Thank you to all the speakers

Thank you to all the people who participated in the discussions

Thank you for your attention