

# Advances on LHC RF Power Limitation Studies at Injection



Research supported by the High Luminosity LHC project

H. Timko\*, T. Argyropoulos, R. Calaga, N. Catalan Lasheras, K. Iliakis, B. E. Karlsen-Baeck<sup>1</sup>, I. Karpov, M. Zampetakis

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>1</sup>also at the Department of Physics, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

\* helga.timko@cern.ch

#### THBP39

#### **ABSTRACT**

RF power limitations are expected for the LHC main RF system in the HL-LHC era. The limitations are due to power transients at injection and high peak power demand in steady state with local control loops acting. The contribution revises the voltage and power estimates for HL-LHC based on 2022-23 experience.

## INTRODUCTION

- High capture voltage → minimize beam losses seen at the start of the ramp
- One klystron per cavity supplying 300 kW [2]
- Constant RF voltage vector
- Half-detuning beam-loading compensation scheme [3]
- The generator current  $I_{gen}$  can be related to the RF voltage V(t) and the RF beam current  $I_{b,rf}(t)$  through the circuit model in [4]:

$$I_{\text{gen}}(t) = \frac{V(t)}{2^{R}/Q} \left(\frac{1}{Q_{L}} - 2i\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{\text{rf}}}\right) + \frac{dV(t)}{dt}\frac{1}{R/Q}\omega_{\text{rf}} + \frac{1}{2}I_{\text{b,rf}}(t)$$

- Low capture voltage  $\rightarrow$  lower power consumption in the presence of strong beam loading
- Superconducting cavities: eight per beam,  $R/Q = 45 \Omega$  [1]

Optimum detuning & loaded quality factor:

Min. aver. klystron forward power in steady state:



## **RF POWER LIMITATIONS**

- Estimates for Run 3 based on 2018 operational experience [5]
- Lowest capture voltage for 1.4x10<sup>11</sup> p/b in 2018 was 4 MV
- Limited by start-of-ramp losses
  - When SPS-LHC energy mismatch was large (up to 90 MeV)

#### Estimates based on Run 2 (2015-2018) operation for Run 3 (2021-2025) & Run 4 (HL-LHC)

When	$N_b$	$\delta_{ m SPS}$	$V_{ m LHC}$	P <sub>gen,opt</sub>
Run 2	1.4×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	$3.74 \times 10^{-4}$	4 MV	84 kW
Run 3	1.8×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	$4.95 \times 10^{-4}$	7 MV	183 kW
Run 4	2.3×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	$5.32 \times 10^{-4}$	7.8 MV	265 kW

## Scaling the capture voltage with the



## **ADVANCES IN 2023**

- Systematically good SPS-LHC energy matching
- Implemented pre-detuning of cavities before beam arrival [6]
  - Focus: first-turn transients  $\rightarrow$  peak power in steady state
- Captured 2x10<sup>11</sup> p/b with 4-7 MV in machine development (MD)



#### momentum spread of the injected bunches:

 $\delta_{\rm SPS}$ 

reconstruction [7]

8850 8900 8950 9000 9050 Fill number

## **UPDATED ESTIMATES**

- Based on 2023 operational experience with 1.6x10<sup>11</sup> p/b
  - Wide range in peak powers
- Max. 7 MV with 2.0x10<sup>11</sup> p/b
- Confirms HL-LHC voltage/power estimates
- :. High-efficiency 350 kW klystrons are a must

# POWER AND VOLTAGE CALIBRATIONS

- Maximum voltage & power w/o beam, just before saturating
  - Folding in beam-based voltage calibration
- Power calculated using  $Q_L = (20 \pm 2.5)$ k
- Five out of 16 lines underperform

	Beam parameters		SPS parameters		LHC parameters				
Scenario	N <sub>b</sub>	ε	$V_{\rm SPS,200}$	$V_{\mathrm{SPS},800}$	$\delta_{ m SPS}$	$  V_{LHC}$	$ au_{ m LHC}$	P <sub>gen,opt</sub>	P <sub>gen,peak</sub>
2023 (op)	1.6×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	0.36-0.45 eVs	9.4 MV	1.7 MV	$(4.24-4.68) \times 10^{-4}$	5 MV	1.08-1.23 ns	119-127 kW	160-230 kW
2023 (MD)	2.0×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	0.55 eVs	9.4 MV	1.7 MV	$4.95 \times 10^{-4}$	7 MV	1.25 ns	206 kW	230-310 kW
HL-LHC	2.3×10 <sup>11</sup> p/b	0.58 eVs	10 MV	2 MV	$5.32 \times 10^{-4}$	6.5-7.9 MV	1.25-1.32 ns	212-267 kW	$320 \pm 15 \text{ kW}$



# CONCLUSIONS

- Pre-detuning implemented
- SPS-LHC energy matching improved
- Capturing 2.0x10<sup>11</sup> p/b for the first time
- For HL-LHC, expect 267 kW average, resulting in 320 kW peak power in the best case

## PLANS FOR 2024

• Is it a lack of power or an error in  $Q_L$ ?

Voltage (top) and power (bottom) in calibration measurement without beam. Expecting 1.4 MV for 275 kW and 20 k.

- Calibrate Q<sub>L</sub> to understand voltage shortcomings
- Attempt reducing the capture voltage further

[1] O. Brüning et al., *"LHC Design Report"*, Tech. Rep. CERN-2004-003-V-1, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.
[2] O. Brunner, H. Frischholz, and D. Valuch, *"RF power generation in LHC"*, Proc. PAC'03, Portland, USA, 2003.
[3] D. Boussard, *"RF power requirements for a high intensity proton collider - Part I and II"*, Proc. PAC'91, San Francisco, USA, 1991.
[4] J. Tückmantel, *"Cavity-beam-transmitter interaction formula collection with derivation"*, Tech. Rep. CERN-ATS-Note-2011-002-TECH, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.

[5] H. Timko et al., *"LHC RF: possible limitations and planned Run 3 studies"*, Talk at the LHC performance workshop 2022.
[6] B. Karlsen-Baeck et al.: *"Effects of cavity pre-detuning on RF power transients at injection into the LHC"*, Proc. of this workshop, 2023.
[7] K. Iliakis, T. Argyropoulos, and G. Trad, *"Longitudinal tomography in the LHC"*, Talk at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2023.

68th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-13th October 2023