EFFECTS OF CAVITY PRE-DETUNING ON RF POWER TRANSIENTS AT INJECTION INTO THE LHC

B. E. Karlsen-Baeck^{*,1}, T. Argyropoulos, A. Butterworth, R. Calaga,
I. Karpov, H. Timko, M. Zampetakis, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
¹also at the Department of Physics, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

Abstract

At injection into the LHC, the RF system is perturbed by beam-induced voltage resulting in strong RF power transients and the instant detuning of the cavities. The automatic tuning system, however, needs time for the mechanical compensation of the resonance frequency to take place. Acting back on the beam, the transients in RF power are expected to limit the maximum injected intensity by generating unacceptable beam loss. Reducing them is therefore essential to reach the target intensity during the High Luminosity (HL) LHC era. At LHC flat bottom, the cavities are operated using the half-detuning beam-loading compensation scheme. As implemented today, the tuner control algorithm starts acting only after the injection of the first longer bunch train which causes the bunches for this injection to experience the largest power spikes. This contribution presents an adapted detuning scheme for the RF cavities before injection. It was proposed as a path to decrease the transients, hence increasing the available intensity margin for the available RF power. The expected gain is evaluated in particle tracking simulations and measurements acquired during operation.

INTRODUCTION

Strong RF power transients occur due to the incoming beam during SPS to LHC injection [1]. The maximum possible injected bunch intensity, for bunch trains with lengths comparable to the cavity filling time, is limited by the size of these transients. The strongest transient occurs for the first of these bunch trains, because the RF system goes from steady state without beam to a new equilibrium with beam, passing through a transient state. The detuning of the RF cavity voltage due to beam-induced voltage is compensated by a tuner [2, 3], which tunes the cavity half-way between being resonant with and without beam, the so-called half-detuning beam-loading compensation scheme [4]. The tuner needs several seconds to act compared to the time scale of the injection transient and its effect is not present immediately after the first injection. Pre-detuning the eight cavities per beam before injecting the first batch into beam 1 (clockwise) and beam 2 (counterclockwise), was therefore proposed as a way to significantly reduce the transients and increase the maximum bunch intensity possible to capture.

This contribution details the predicted benefit of this scheme based on simulation using the Beam Longitudinal Dynamics (BLonD) code [5]. Additionally, results from

THBP29

530

😄 Content from this work may be used under the terms of the CC-BV-4.0 licence (© 2023). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI

measurements from operation during the summer of 2023 are shown and compared with the simulations.

BEAM-LOADING IN THE LHC

The relation between the cavity gap voltage, V_{ant} , the generator current, I_{gen} , and the RF beam current, $I_{b,rf}$, can be described by the following relation [6]

$$I_{\text{gen}} = \frac{V_{\text{ant}}}{2^{R}/\varrho} \left(\frac{1}{Q_L} - 2i \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_{\text{rf}}} \right) + \frac{dV_{\text{ant}}}{dt} \frac{1}{\omega_{\text{rf}} R/\varrho} + \frac{I_{\text{b,rf}}}{2} \,. \tag{1}$$

Here, (R/Q) is the *R* upon *Q*, $\omega_{\rm rf}$ is the RF angular frequency, Q_L is the loaded quality factor and $\Delta \omega$ is the detuning in frequency defined as $\Delta \omega \equiv \omega_r - \omega_{\rm rf}$, where ω_r is the resonant frequency of the cavity. The RF power needed to supply the cavity is [6]

$$P_{\rm gen}(t) = \frac{1}{2}^{R} / \varrho Q_L \left| I_{\rm gen}(t) \right|^2 \,. \tag{2}$$

During flat bottom in the LHC, the cavities are operated in half-detuning [4]. In this scheme, the required RF power is minimized through setting $\Delta \omega_{\text{opt}} = (R/Q) I_{\text{b,rf}} \omega_{\text{rf}}/(4V_{\text{ant}})$ and $Q_{L,\text{opt}} = 2V_{\text{ant}}/[(R/Q) I_{\text{b,rf}}]$, while keeping the gap voltage constant in both amplitude and phase. The average steady-state generator power then becomes

$$P_{\text{gen,opt}} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{V_{\text{ant}}^2}{R/QQ_L} + \frac{R/QQ_L}{32} I_{\text{b,rf}}^2 = \frac{V_{\text{ant}}I_{\text{b,rf}}}{8} .$$
 (3)

In operation, the cavities are tuned via a mechanical tuner moved by a step motor which is controlled through firmware [7]. A steepest-descent algorithm is programmed, which minimizes the average of the maximum and minimum of the 2-dimensional cross-product between V_{ant} and $I_{\text{b,rf}}$ [3]. In the complex plane, the cross-product can be expressed as $\Im \left\{ V_{\text{ant}} I_{\text{b,rf}}^* \right\} = x$, which is down-sampled with a cascaded integrator-comb (CIC) filter. The algorithm computes the correction

$$\left(\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_r}\right)_{n+1} = \left(\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_r}\right)_n - \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{x_{\max} + x_{\min}}{|V_{\text{ant}}|^2} \tag{4}$$

turn-by-turn and gradually moves ω_r . Here μ is a coefficient which determines how fast the tuner acts and is always negative. In the LHC this constant is set to a value such that the algorithm converges on the order of a second. It also follows from Eq. (4) that the tuner only acts when $I_{\text{b,rf}} \neq 0$, i.e. when there is already beam in the ring. The pre-detuning is implemented by adding a static phase-offset $\phi_{\Delta\omega}$ in the

^{*} birk.beck@cern.ch

tuner control module, which is then disabled once a certain intensity is reached in the ring. The phase offset $\phi_{\Delta\omega}$ is given as

$$\phi_{\Delta\omega} = \arg \left\{ Z_{\text{cav}} \left(\omega_{\text{r}} + \Delta \omega \right) \right\} \,. \tag{5}$$

Here, Z_{cav} is the impedance of an LHC 400 MHz cavity. With local feedback systems acting, Z_{cav} is the effective impedance including the impedance reduction by these loops.

MEASUREMENTS IN OPERATION

Since the exact value of the detuning for each cavity may vary, measurement of the klystron forward power line-byline was performed during operation to verify the reduction of the transients. A scan was first done with four fills at $\phi_{\Delta\omega} = 25^\circ$, six at 30° and three at 35° with a bunch intensity of 1.58×10^{11} protons per bunch (p/b). For each fill the first 20-26 turns of transients are captured after the injection of the first batch with comparable length to the cavity filling time.



Figure 1: The measured peak klystron forward power cavityby-cavity at different detuning angles for all eight lines per beam, for beam 1 (top) and beam 2 (bottom) lines.

The reduction in peak transient for the first long batch is illustrated in Fig. 1. The error bar for each measurement point is the standard deviation computed from all the fills with a given detuning angle and a given RF line. The plot shows that the peak power decreases with increasing detuning angle, with the exception of lines 5, 6 and 8 for beam 1. The increase in peak power for these cavities at 35° could be due to a lower optimum phase than the rest of the cavities. The lower value of the optimum detuning can be due to variations in parameters like Q_L , gain of the one-turn delay feedback (OTFB) and the gain of the analog RF feedback (RFFB).

The gain in power margin is reproducible from fill to fill compared to the gain itself. For a given beam type, the results for all RF lines have a standard deviation between 2.6 kW and 6.8 kW for beam 1 and between 2.4 kW and 7.9 kW for beam 2. The average reduction in power is found in Table 1. It should therefore be possible to fine-tune the

Table 1: The average reduction in peak power as a function of the pre-detuning phase $\phi_{\Delta\omega}$. The last column is the mean standard deviation of all the measurement points shown in Fig. 1. The average values of change in peak power for Beam 1 exclude cavities 5B1, 6B1 and 8B1.

$\Delta \phi_{\Delta \omega}$	25° to 30°	30° to 35°	Mean δP_{peak}
Beam 1	-1.06 kW/°	-3.37 kW/°	4.85 kW
Beam 2	-1.21 kW/°	-4.31 kW/°	5.03 kW

optimum power for each line within 2.5° , if the average reduction close to the minimum $(30^{\circ}-35^{\circ})$ is considered.

SIMULATIONS AND FURTHER **IMPROVEMENTS**

BLonD simulations with the model of the LHC cavity loops [8] were performed with the measured beam and RF parameters. The operational LHC batch consisted of a 56bunch 8b4e PS batch followed by three 36-bunch standard 25 ns batches [9]. For an average intensity of 1.58×10^{11} p/b, an average bunch length at injection of 1.46 ns, and the given injection scheme, the peak RF beam current was found to be 1.33 A. The BLonD model of the LHC tuner control gave an optimum detuning of around 44° (-9.5 kHz) for this type of hybrid filling pattern.



Figure 2: The peak power over the first 30 turns as a function of the effective predetuning $\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega}$ in simulation.

A parameter scan in detuning angle was performed in simulation to show how the transients vary below and above the optimum detuning angle. The result of this scan is plotted in Fig. 2. As the detuning phase in operation is a static phase offset added to a static setpoint phase, the partial detuning due the initial 12-bunch injection has to be taken into account. In order to compare simulation and measurement, the detuning phase $\phi_{\Delta\omega}$ has to be shifted to the effective detuning phase $\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega}$,

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega} = \phi_{\text{set}} + \phi_{\Delta\omega} - \Delta\phi_{\Delta\omega,12b} \,. \tag{6}$$

Here, $\Delta \phi_{\Delta \omega, 12b}$ is the detuning from the tuner algorithm due to the twelve circulating bunches and ϕ_{set} is the setpoint reference phase of the tuner. The exact value of $\Delta \phi_{\Delta \omega, 12b}$ depends on the beam parameters of the batch and for the relevant period of operation a shift of -3.4 kHz, corresponding

531

68th Adv. Beam Dyn. Workshop High-Intensity High-Brightness Hadron Beams HB2023, Geneva, Switzerland JACoW Publishing ISBN: 978-3-95450-253-0 ISSN: 2673-5571

er IkWl

Peak

120

20.0

+20 %

22.5

25.0

to 12°, was assumed. In addition, the reference phase, ϕ_{set} , is calibrated, and it has an estimated 5° uncertainty. The uncertainty from this calibration, corresponding to the black dashed lines in Fig. 2, shifts the minimum of the peak power in simulations with respect to measurements. Furthermore, the estimated error of the RF power measurements is 20%. This error, indicated by the gray shaded area, is added to the simulation results in order to better compare them to the measurements.



Figure 3: The turn-by-turn peak power transient for different $\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega}$ and turn-by-turn minimum (black) in simulation. The lines at turns 200 and 400 are numerical artifacts.

As can be seen from Eqs. (1) and (2), in steady state, the peak power should have a square dependence on the detuning in frequency. Figure 2 shows that this is the case in simulations above the minimum. However, below the optimum detuning the dependency seems to be linear. This is because the steady-state condition assumed for Eq. (2) is not valid anymore, as the RF beam current is oscillating after injection. The longitudinal oscillations are dipolar and quadrupolar components excited in the injected beam due to the mismatch of the aspect ratio between the SPS and LHC. The dipolar component modifies the phase of the RF beam current while the quadrupolar one changes the amplitude of the current, affecting the peak RF power. The oscillations can be seen in Fig. 3 for lower values of effective detuning, $\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega}$. The value of the detuning, $\phi_{\Delta\omega}$, corresponding to the minimum peak power, oscillates turn-by-turn after injection. The observed optimum detuning changes therefore depending on how many turns are measured during the first 100 turns after injection. For further optimization of the detuning, being able to acquire more turns will thus be important. Another observation is that the optimum pre-detuning phase can in general differ from the optimum half-detuning phase, since half-detuning is only best in steady state. In addition, it also suggests that the minimum possible peak power, around 150 kW in simulation, will never be as low as the optimum average half-detuning value which is around 140 kW in theory.

The measured transients in peak power compared with the results from simulation are shown in Fig. 4. The shaded areas in blue and red correspond to the line-by-line spread in power for the eight RF cavities for beam 1 and beam 2, respectively. The three outlier cavities in beam 1 are also

240 220 200 180 160 140

Outliers

32.5

35.0

37.5

40.0

Repre

doi:10.18429/JACoW-HB2023-THBP29

 $\tilde{\phi}_{\Delta\omega}$ [deg.] Figure 4: The peak power over the first 30 turns as a function of the effective predetuning, $\tilde{\phi}_{\Lambda\omega}$.

30.0

B1 spread

B2 spread

27.5

plotted in this figure. Figure 4 indicates that, although the spread in measurements for both beams is considerable, the overall reduction in power follows the simulation. The operational setting of the pre-detuning phase to minimize the peak injection power transient should therefore lie between 28° and 38° according to simulation. Hence, the minimum of the outliers is not far from that of the simulations when taking into account the error due to the reference phase calibration (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the slope of the outliers differs from the rest, which can be due to a variation in Q_L as seen in Fig. 2. However, because the reduction for the outlier cavities are within the fill-by-fill variation, it could be that they were not pre-detuned as expected. The slope from simulation of peak power as a function of detuning was found to be -3.44 kW/° below the minimum. The reduction in power per degree of pre-detuning phase predicts that a phase precision of 1.4° should be possible in simulations when the fill-by-fill variation in measurements is taken into account. Lastly, the offset in power in Fig. 4 between simulation and measurement could be due to a difference in gap voltage [10].

CONCLUSIONS

The pre-detuning of cavities was put into operation with protons in the LHC to reduce the power transients at injection of the first long batch, and it has demonstrated a significant gain in power margin. A parameter scan was performed during LHC operation to optimize the pre-detuning phase and clearly yields a reduction of transients that matches predictions. Measurements over multiple injections of bunch trains show that a fine-tuning of the phase up to 2.5° can be achieved due to the small variation of each of the RF lines for a given phase value and beam type.

Simulations predict that the optimum operational setting of the pre-detuning phase should lie between 28° and 38° , depending on the RF line. To further optimize the phase accuracy, at least 100 turns should be acquired after injection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research supported by the HL-LHC project. We would like to thank Y. Brischetto, D. A. Długosz, and the SPS and LHC shift crews for their help during MDs and with acquiring data.

🔍 Content from this work may be used under the terms of the CC-BY-4.0 licence (© 2023). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI

68th Adv. Beam Dyn. Workshop High-Intensity High-Brightness Hadron BeamsHB2023, Geneva, SwitzerlandJACoW PublishingISBN: 978-3-95450-253-0ISSN: 2673-5571doi:10.18429/JACoW-HB2023-THBP29

REFERENCES

- B. E. Karlsen-Baeck *et al.*, "LHC MD 6945: Injection Power Transients", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. to be published.
- [2] J. C. Molendijk *et al.*, "Digital Design of the LHC Low Level RF: the Tuning System for the Superconducting Cavities", in *Proc. EPAC'06*, Edinburgh, UK, Jun. 2006, paper TUPCH196, pp. 1474–1476.
- [3] P. Baudrenghien, "The Tuning Algorithm of the LHC 400 MHz Superconducting Cavities", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. CERN-AB-Note-2007-011, 2007. https://cds.cern.ch/record/1014303
- [4] D. Boussard, "RF power requirements for a high intensity proton collider; parts 1 (chapters I, II, III) and 2 (chapters IV, V, VI)", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. CERN-SL-91-16-RFS, 1991. https://cds.cern.ch/record/221153
- [5] H. Timko *et al.*, "Beam Longitudinal Dynamics Simulation Studies", 2022. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2206.08148

- [6] J. Tückmantel, "Cavity-beam-transmitter interaction formula collection with derivation", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. CERN-ATS-Note-2011-002-TECH, 2011. https: //cds.cern.ch/record/1323893
- [7] O. Brüning *et al.*, "LHC Design Report", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs, Tech. Rep. CERN-2004-003-V-1, 2004. doi:10.5170/CERN-2004-003-V-1
- [8] B. E. Karlsen-Bæck, "Modelling Control Loops for SPS-LHC Beam Transfer Studies", MSc Thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Norway, Rep. CERN-THESIS-2022-159, CERN Geneva, Switzerland, 2022.
- [9] S. Albright *et al.*, "Overview of the Beams from the Injectors", in Proc. of the 8th Evian Workshop on LHC beam operation, Evian Les Bains, France, Dec. 2017. https://cds.cern. ch/record/2790777
- [10] B. E. Karlsen-Baeck *et al.*, "LHC MD 6944: Voltage calibration", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. to be published.